ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

Resilience & Sustainable Development Model through De-Carbonisation Process using an Improved Digital Twin Approach

[1] Paniendradutt. Kolluru

[1] Chinaogirala, Andhra Pradesh, India
* Corresponding Author's Email: [1] kpaniendra@gmail.com

Abstract*— At the backdrop of the COP-28 summit on Green House Gases (GHG) emissions, the paper explores the supply chain risk and resilience of its supplier-stakeholder logistical node using AHP, FMEA & SERM frameworks. Utilising case-based research on a hypothetical global cluster based on a Canadian Aluminium Corporation with a specific impetus in the Quebec province, the research lays a foundation for normative theories using the Kraljic Matrix and above frameworks. The research establishes factors for strategic sustainable procurement using an improved digital twin approach in the supplier-stakeholder node using linear programming and multi-variate equations. In addition to the widespread interest in addressing GHG emissions, the research also focuses on an empirical carbon management and reporting tool using a strategic data hub to facilitate this process in accordance with the government and UN climate offset program.

Keywords: Supply Chain Resilience, IMO, Sustainable Fuel, Sustainable Procurement, Canada.

I. INTRODUCTION

At the backdrop of the COP - 28 Dubai Summit hosting the UNFCCC discussions around the GHG emissions across manufacturing and service industries and logistical partners. Participative partners like the IMO & ICAO have raised concerns surrounding these emissions which have given weightage to recognition of the SERM framework [1] *a*cross the global supply chain network to mitigate risk from an ecological point of view. The paper highlights the risk profiling and resilience measures of supplier – stakeholder relationship using the AHP framework [2] by means of case-based research on a global cluster in a Canadian Global Aluminium Corporation (GAC). The paper tests the supply chain across a hypothetical value chain from an LCA perspective with specific impetus to the Canadian Aviation sector in the Quebec province.

The literature throws light on the amalgamation of the Kraljic model on supplier-stakeholder [3] with a SERM framework perspective across supplier-stakeholder partnership in the Canadian GAC region with procurement of raw material imports and back through to the Port of Quebec as finished goods and through the global supply chain networks via air & sea freight channels from the Alu-Quebec clusters via freight consolidators to respective service industries.

A proactive and holistic approach for supply chain resilience that builds the adaptive capacity to handle unforeseeable disruptions. To mitigate the impact of unexpected risk especially on multi-national enterprises, an adoptive supply chain resilience is aimed to achieve flexibility, velocity and visibility and collaboration. In particular, the study highlights the internal risks in sourcing and the delivery, leading to major disruptions of material flow enhancing adaptability and flexibility as a dynamic capability – influencing supply chain resilience and role of risk management strategies through statistical analysis [4].

Rudimentary pro-active tasks in resilience building can be put in place to assess and manage supply chain risks with a resource-based review. These measures lead to process formalization using people, information systems and measurement of key KPI metrics resulting in lower risk management. [5].

Objectives of this Study:

- Analysing existing Digital Twins literature particularly from an analytical standpoint.
- Modelling the disruption sources with the supply chain risks through stochastic quantitative approaches to improve supply chain resilience measures bases current capacities for the logistical nodal network as per schematic and factoring relevant parameters and resilient strategies.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Design of an Improved Digital Twin for Carbon Management:

The literature in the introduction lacks the theoretical concepts and frameworks of a Carbon Management framework from the UNFCCC (COP – 29) standpoint.

The case-based research lays a foundation for normative theories using the Kraljic portfolio purchasing matrix framework to develop under an iDT model along-with collaborative strategic sourcing partnerships using external

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304
International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)
Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

and internal risk parameters while assessing the supply chain lead-time and flexibility factors in the logistical nodal network.

Mobility and trade flow [6] across collaborative partners reduces freight bottlenecks and uncertainties in the supply chain network using the Intelligent Digital Twin Model [7][9][10][11] providing visibility to supply chain making the network easy to respond and adapt to disruption using digital technologies for continuous monitoring of supply chain functions.

Improved Digital Twin:

The Intelligent Digital Twin model is a digital visualisation of a physical supply chain and its elements in a computer model with advanced digital technologies providing data about the physical object (Sensors, Blockchain and Cloud) using descriptive, predictive and prescriptive analytics for decision making support to optimise, simulate and visualise with a human-machine interface [7][9][10][11].

This Improved Digital Twin (IDT) model increases the visibility and optimises the logistical resources offering real-time supply chain data facilitating supply chain resilience levels in supply chain at the strategic and operational level leading to a development of resilient supply chain networks. This process incorporates reactive and proactive measures across the entire Canadian GAC supply network inclusive of strategic hubs as Bunker Ports [Transport & Environment Report, 2024] for fuelling low emission fuel for reducing CO_2e as part of this empirical case-based study from the de-carbonisation perspective.

The digital twin is a combination of multiple layers such as network structure, flows, process control algorithms and operational parameters with connectivity with external systems and databases for risk profiling using AHP framework integrating descriptive, predictive and prescriptive analytics [7][9][10][11].

The Digital Twin allows for both numerical outcomes and an extended analysis of bottlenecks, comparison, analyses of resilience investments and digital technologies such as IoT, 5G, Sensors, Blockchain, AI & Data Analytics and Supply Collaborative Partners for real-time decision making among supply chain partners thus enhancing performance, sustainability and resilience analyses, end-end visibility and integration capabilities for digital collaboration. [7][9][10][11].

The development of this Digital Twin based supply chain stress-testing framework is subject to data and model integration. At the pro-active stage, the digital twin uses statistical data in optimisation and simulation models for resilience analysis and stress-testing. To exemplify, an alternate supply design and back up logistics can be analysed to assess the impact of disruption on supply performance [7][9][10][11].

This study, proposes a model with mitigation strategies with comprehensive understanding of potential risks in the network to build an agile and adaptable supply chain. Furthermore, it enhances supply chain resilience capabilities in uncertain supply chain environments that involve different risks. [4]

It needs an incorporation of the Carbon Management System to facilitate virtual reporting of logistical systems in freight transport of physical entities while integrating and managing various existing Business Processes in the Procurement, Supplier Lifecycle Management (SLM) till the delivery of consignments – a hypothetical online portal named e-DTMS (Document & Transportation System) for an ESG & BRSR Standpoint referred here as an Improved Digital Twin.

Table 1: Supply Network Risk Profile – AHP Framework

Risk Category	Risk Factors	Authors
Procurement Risk (Disruptional)	Sourcing Risks	A. Azaron, K.N.Brown, S.A.Tarim, M.Modarres (2008), Dmitry Ivanov, Alexander Pavlov, Alexandre Dolgui, Dmitry Pavlov, Boris Sokolov (2016), Dmitry Ivanova, Boris Sokolov, Inna Solovyeva, Alexandre Dolgui and Ferry Jie, Jennifer Blackhurst, Kaitlin S. Dunn, Christopher W. Craighead (2016)
	Flexibility Risk	Dmitry Ivanov (2023), Juneho Um, Neungho Han (2021)
	Supplier Relationship	Qualitative Data
	Material Quality Risk	Qualitative Data
Company Holding Risk (Operational)	Kinks in Holding Costs	Qualitative Data
	Product Quality Risk ~ Detoriation	Qualitative Data
	Change in Global Specifications	Qualitative Data
Documentation Risk (Semi-Disruptional)	Forecasting Risk	Manuj and Mentzer (2008a, 2008b), Olson and Wu (2010), Tummala and Schoenherr (2011), Giannakis and Papadopoulos (2016)
	Back-Orders Contracting Risk	Qualitative Data

Sources of Disruptions:

The impact of the sources of disruption on supply chain performance and the scope of changes occurring in the supply chain structures are - structural resilience [back-up suppliers during contingencies] & parametrical resilience [capacity expansion]. These resilience measures make the supply chain network resistant to disruption by tuning critical parameters like lead-time [13] or flexibility to prevent such disruptions.

Table 2: Performance Metrics for Supply Chain Resilience Factoring across**:**

Vulnerability	Supply Chain Resilience Capability
Turbulence – Transportation failure leading to production stoppages.	Flexibility in sourcing of suppliers
Deliberate threats	Flexibility in order fulfilment
Sensitivity to external pressures	Tracking and visible collaboration across partners and cyber-security measures
Resource limitation at	Proactive agile measures

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

Vulnerability	Supply Chain Resilience Capability
supplier production line	

III. RESEARCH DESIGN / METHODOLOGY

Case Study Approach - Schematic Diagram of Supply Chain Network – AHP Framework



Figure 1 – Schematic Diagram

Above schematic depicts the Supplier-Enterprise framework design using Supplier - OEM supply network in Alu-Quebec cluster from the Smelter Processing Units, structural parts and avionics to the OEM in the Quebec Province [14].

As per schematic diagram, the level of disruptions in Supplier – OEM supply network depends basis characteristics of the supply chain network. The resilience of a supply chain and the recovery time from a disruption should be inversely related. [5][15].

Supply Chain Risk Management

The supply chain becomes vulnerable, if the Canadian GAC supply chain ignores resilience measures pushing for lower costs and higher efficiency thus emphasising the need for resilience measures ie. strategic stock, maintain low-capacity utilisation & multiple suppliers' information sharing – supply chain integration and flexibility [16].

Table 3: Risk Profiling – AHP Framework

Risk Profiles	Description	Description	Description
Risk Assessment	Analysis & Evaluation	ESG – Alu-Quebec Cluster	CO_2e; SDG Goals; Governance (GAC)
Risk Reporting	IDT - e-DTMS	Digital Technologies	5G, IoT, Sensors, Cloud ERP & Remote Digital Hub
Risk Treatment	Resilience Measures	Drivers of Resilience Supply Chain	Supply Chain Agility & Responsiveness
Risk Monitoring	Improved Digital Twin	e-DTMS	Supplier-Stakeholder Collaboration
Risk Mitigation & Control	Risk Mitigation Strategies	De-Carbonomics	Carbon-Free Aluminium & Renewable Fuel – SAF & SMF, UN Offset Program

Mainly procurement, company inventory and documentation sources of risk upon the onset of disruptive activities are countered by resilience measures through resource re-configuration. To ideally manage risk management across such supply chain infrastructure to managing resilience in order to develop capabilities to mitigate the impact of a certain risk under disruption. [17]

The ability to quickly stabilize after a shock and strengthen the immunity of supply chain which makes the supply network agile and capable of protecting itself with human-AI tool (e-DTMS ~ Improved Digital Twin) in real-time saving time.

The proposed Supplier – OEM Logistical nodal network could achieve near operational excellence with the adoption of 6 Sigma practices – DMADV using resilient stochastic statistical methods for resilience building approach. The logistical nodal network also uses process value stream management technique to achieve operational excellence in the network as stated below.

Operational Excellence:

- ***6 Sigma & Lean - DMADV***

D	**Define**	Risk & Resilience
M	**Measure**	Failure mode vs risk sources
A	**Analyse**	Analyse
D	**Design**	Design resilient model at stochastic level – (resilient factoring over timeframes)
V	**Verify**	Verify – from OEM perspective at stochastic level (statistical level)

- **Process Management – Value Stream Management**

1. **Control Plans** – Checklist (FMEA Analysis)


a) Monitored – Disruption / Failure Sources
b) Metrics – Cost, Efficiency, Flexibility, Speed & Lead Time.
c) Targets – Agile & Efficiency
d) Performance – Cost & Network Resiliency
e) Frequency of Measurement – Timeline across time-frames to near-resiliency. (IDT Dashboard)

Risk Models [Supplier – OEM Supply Network]:

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025



IV. MATHEMATICAL FRAMEWORKS

Disruption Quotient in the supply logistical nodal network is calculated using a dependent variable i.e.

[**Supply Chain Performance [Z_{ij}] = Cost [C_{ij}] * Risk [R_{ij}]**] ------- Linear Programming Model.

The notation i-j are denoted as node i and node j where the risk occurs and the supply chain (SC) performance is being evaluated for resilience to the interventions in the logistical nodal network.

The supply chain performance quantifies the disruption quotient in the logistical node i-j.

SC Performance [Z_{ij}] = a * X_{ij} + b * Y_{ij} + c ---------- Multi-Variate Equation

Where the supply chain performance is factored by X – lead-time and Y – supplier flexibility while a, b and c are lead-time uncertainty, flexibility uncertainty and other unknown uncertainty respectively.

The Path Diagram (not shown) reflects the inter-dependencies of the risk types and disruptive sources, the cost model and latent variables back to these risk indicators and their subsequent impact on the factors [f_1, f_2].

However, the Supplier – OEM supply network Model could not be analysed using SEM – AMOS due to lack of support facilities.

To assess the multi-variate equation using two-way ANOVA, three null hypotheses and three alternate hypotheses is being used.

Null Hypotheses H_0 –

1) There is no significant difference in the mean between the groups (factor levels) of the first factor.
2) There is no significant difference in the mean between the groups (factor levels) of the second factor.
3) One factor has no effect on the effect of the other factor.

Alternate Hypotheses H_1


1) There is a significant difference in the mean between the groups (factor levels) of the first factor.
2) There is a significant difference in the mean between the groups (factor levels) of the second factor.
3) One factor has an effect on the effect of the other factor.

Assumptions:

1) The scale level of the dependent variable should be a metric and that of independent variable (factors) nominal.
2) The data within the groups is normally distributed.

Calculating Two-Way ANOVA

Calculating two-way ANOVA using Sum of Squares, Degree of Freedom, Variances and F-Value, to evaluate p-value basis the above measure. The disruption quotient evaluates the supply chain performance in the logistical nodal network as a dependent variable basis independent variable – lead-time and flexibility. The p-value is derived from a mathematical-software tool using the above measures and is discussed in the box below.



This gives a p-value of 0.323 for Factor A, a p-value of 0.686 for Factor B and a p-value of 0.55 for the interaction. None of these p-values is less than 0.05 and thus we retain the respective null hypotheses.

Parameter	Dependent Variable	SC Performance	
Factor A	Independent Variable	Lead-Time	
Factor B	Independent Variable	Flexibility	
Likert Scale [1-10]	High Lead-time	Low Lead-time	
	6	4	
	4	5	
High Flexibility	7	6	
	9	7	
	3	5	
Mean	5.8	5.4	5.6
	8	3	
Low Flexibility	3	5	
	5	9	
	8	2	
	6	3	
Mean	6	4.4	5.2
	5.9	4.9	5.4

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

Table 4 – Supply Chain Risk Types and Disruptive Sources Pre-Disruption

	Disruption Magnitude = Cost [C_{ij}] * Risk Rating [R_{ij}]						
Supply Chain Risk Types	**Material Mis-Handling (Y_1)**	**PESTLE (Y_2)**	**Transportation Failure (Y_3)**	**Thermal PCM Failure (Y_4)**	**Back Order Mis-Management (Y_5)**	**Kinks - Holding Costs (Y_6)**	**Cyber Attacks (Y_7)**
Procurement Risk	74388360 * 4 = **297553440**	74388360 * 3.8 = **282675768**	74388360 * 3.4 = **252920424**	74388360 * 3.8 = **282675768**	74388360 * 3.5 = **260359260**	74388360 * 4 = **297553440**	74388360 * 3.66 = **272261397.6**
Warehouse Inventory Risk	37162880 * 4 = **148651520**	37162880 * 3.8 = **141218944**	37162880 * 3.4 = **126353792**	37162880 * 3.8 = **141218944**	37162880 * 3.5 = **130070080**	37162880 * 4 = **148651520**	37162880 * 3.66 = **136016140.8**
Documentation Risk ~ [Back-Ordering]	18590940 * 4 = **74363760**	18590940 * 3.8 = **70645572**	18590940 * 3.4 = **63209196**	18590940 * 3.8 = **70645572**	18590940 * 3.5 = **65068290**	18590940 * 4 = **74363760**	18590940 * 3.66 = **68042840.4**

Table 5 – Supply Chain Risk Types and Disruptive Sources Post-Disruption

	Disruption Magnitude = Cost [C_{ij}] * Risk Rating [R_{ij}]						
Supply Chain Risk Types	**Material Mis - Handling (Y_1)**	**PESTLE (Y_2)**	**Transportation Failure (Y_3)**	**Thermal PCM Failure (Y_4)**	**Back Order Mis-Management (Y_5)**	**Kinks - Holding Costs (Y_6)**	**Cyber Attacks (Y_7)**
Procurement Risk	91351960 * 4 = **365407840**	91351960 * 3.8 = **347137448**	91351960 * 3.4 = **310596664**	91351960 * 3.8 = **347137448**	91351960 * 3.5 = **319731860**	91351960 * 4 = **365407840**	91351960 * 3.66 = **334348173.6**
Warehouse Inventory Risk	22831740 * 4 = **91326960**	22831740 * 3.8 = **86760612**	22831740 * 3.4 = **77627916**	22831740 * 3.8 = **86760612**	22831740 * 3.5 = **79911090**	22831740 * 4 = **91326960**	22831740 * 3.66 = **83564168.4**
Documentation Risk ~ [Back-Ordering]	45644480 * 4 = **182577920**	45644480 * 3.8 = **173449024**	45644480 * 3.4 = **155191232**	45644480 * 3.8 = **173449024**	45644480 * 3.5 = **159755680**	45644480 * 4 = **182577920**	45644480 * 3.66 = **167058796.8**

Table 6 - Disruption Reduction for Optimal Supply Chain Performance = [Cost (C_{ij})] * [Reduction in Risk (Resilience) (R_{ij})] - - -

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

supply chain in fragments. (Procurement, Trucking; Import/Export; Warehousing; Supplier-Stakeholder & Freight Transport Tracking and Visibility) using -

Table 7: e-DTMS – Tool Features

Supplier Lifecycle Management	Data Management	Global Supply Chain – Canadian Global Aluminium Corporation
Procurement Planning & Implementation	Database	With 4PL Consolidators at Port-of-Quebec Transhipment Hub
Contracting & Vendor Management	Forecasting	Freight Consolidator
Inventory & Warehouse Management	Inventory Planning	Freight Consolidator
Sustainable Logistics	Replenishment Planning	Freight Consolidator




Fig. 2 – Improved Digital Twin [e-DTMS] – Supply Chain Risk & Resilience with Carbon Management Interface [22]

In this figure, the e-DTMS allows risk profiling for Supply Chain Resilience analysis and stress-testing integrating supply chain entities using data modelling techniques for data-driven decision making through a digital tool. Such a digital twin model develops several management capabilities including visibility; real-time decision making; data visualization; data accuracy and traceability with KPI dashboard to enhance demand, supply and process knowledge.

Table 8: Qualitative Inputs**:**

Position in Supply Chain	Data Source Methodology	Data Content
Supplier – OEM	Semi-structured interviews with Aerospace Manufacturing Corporation Consultant – Expert 1	Supply chain impact upon disruption from risk sources and subsequent mitigation strategies
Supplier – OEM	Qualitative Method using stochastic analysis – own understanding with logical view evaluating applicability with respective response time basis a certification course. *** [Due to Qualitative Data In-availability from Industry Experts]**	Supply chain impact upon disruption from risk sources and subsequent mitigation strategies

V. FINDINGS

Supply Chain Mapping in Supplier – OEM

- Modelling Framework - Risk Propagation Index – Model I [T-Test Statistics using DMADV basis improvisation and control using resilience strategies and verify using two-way Anova statistically]
- Two-Way Anova requires two or more independent variables – Lead-time and flexibility on a dependent variable – SC Performance
- Impact Assessment – Tables with Disruption Reduction
- Visualisation – Proposed IDT Model assessing resilience factor in the Supplier – OEM logistical nodal network basis disruption / failure sources.
- Reduce Risk Propagation Index [RPI]
- Assessing SC fragility between Supplier – OEM node (with Statistics)
- Resilience Index – Scorecard
- Adaptive Learning (GenAI SC) for disruption scenarios in the logistical node.

Scorecard Table: 9

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

Failure Modes	Failure Causes / Disruptional Sources [Σ(Yn)] across 7 stated Risks			
[Across 6 Aerospace Suppliers]	Probability of Risk [P_R]	Impact of Risk [I_R]	Response Time [T_R] (week)	Score = [PR] * [IR] * [TR]
Disruptional Risk	0.7	1.8	3	3.78
Operational Risk	0.2	2	10	4
Semi-Disruptional Risk	0.2	4	3	2.4

VI. RESULTS

This model highlights the supply chain visibility, risk profile and the resilience management from Operational – identification and primary detection of the risk and a strategic point of view to prevent the risk from recurring fostering sustainability and innovation using the disruption framework to define the problem, investigate and resolve with course corrective measures by changing the systems and processes in the supply network for a smoother supply chain.

The multi-variate model equation to factor for supply chain flexibility and lead-time which indirectly evaluate supply chain performance as a dependent variable. Since data pointers of experimental data was below 200 entries; statistical Two-Way Anova tool was used to validate the multi-variate equation in the supplier-stakeholder logistical node.

Hence, with the T-test, I conclude that the resilient strategies are effective to keep the single logistical node resilient with the rejection of H_0 – null hypothesis i.e. post intervention caused via the Linear Programming model.

Managerial Implications on Supply Chain Network using AHP and SERM Frameworks

Using the current literature and appropriate data entries; SEM – AMOS could give the requisite critical values to evaluate the supply chain at the level of about 20 independent consolidators' shipments supplying to a Bombardier Corporation based in Alu-Quebec cluster for building aircrafts from a resilient point of view considering the above risk types, also a global health risk or a natural disaster.

Assumptions of Study:

- Certain strategies are null in timeframes during movement of flow units.
- Assumption on constant uncertainty in the logistical nodal network.
- Pre-Disruption risk is unknown, hence high/medium risk might happen due to a possibility of a global health risk/natural disaster.
- Disruption magnitude increases as per risk rating and then as per resilient strategies it keeps reducing.

VII. DISCUSSIONS

1. Global Supply Chain – A Bunkering Stop in Morocco
2. International Solar Alliance (ISA) in Middle-East North African (MENA) region.
3. Re-fuelling station and reducing bunkering frequency for shipping lines in East Asia – North America belt.
4. Analysing Disruptions in Canada – Alu Quebec Cluster and its reflections in the Red Sea & Strait of Gibraltar with a hypothetical carbon management and resilience tool (e-DTMS) as expressed for observing and reporting freight movement and subsequent agile processes in the mentioned regions.
5. Better Fuel Efficiency as against Heavy Fuel Oil Sea Freight [Heavy Fuel Oil] – **Transportation Distance * Quantity of Weight Transported * Emission Factor**

17000 km * 6 Vessels * 4000 Tons *0.02034 [6 Vessels & 4000 Tons – hypothetical requirement of an OEM as per a potential customer demand in India]

= **8298720 tCO_2e**

(GHG Accounting of Light Fuel Oil – Quebec to India route Port de Quebec – Morocco Port [Bunker Port] – Vizhinjam Port)

[Cite: GHG Protocol indices]

IMO Target: i) Cut CO_2e by 20% - 30% by 2030

ii) 70% - 80% by 2040 against 2008 levels.

6. Facilitate Global Shipping from Europe to East Asia as well

GHG Accounting of Shipping Lines – Quebec – Morrocco - India – case-based supply network for the transportation of aero parts to Indian markets utilising bunker facilities.

Dual Carbon Management (Carbon-Free Aluminium & E-Methanol Fuel):

- Freight GHG Accounting
- Regulatory Compliance
- Operational Fuel Efficiency via Capacity Utilisation framework
- Reducing Environment Impact through Green Methanol [Sustainable Marine Fuel]

Table 10: Supply Network and CO_2e of Canadian-Global Aluminium Corporation**:**

Supply Network	Process Description	tCO_2e
Guinea Port to Quebec Port	Raw Material Sourcing (Bauxite)	-
Refinery – Smelter (Scope 1 & Scope 2)	Bayer Process	**$3080.710 * 10^6$**
Refinery – Smelter (Scope 3)	Bayer Process	-
Smelters - Casting Processing Units (Scope 1 & Scope 2)	Hall-Heroult Process	**$94.562 * 10^6$**

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

Supply Network	Process Description	tCO_2e
Smelters - Casting Processing Units (Scope 3) – 2023	Hall-Heroult Process	**16411302.183**
Casting Processing Units to OEMs	Logistical transportation of Casted Products	-
Manufacturers/OEMs to Transhipment Port Consolidators (Scope 3)	Logistical transportation of Finished Products – Boeing & Air Bus (OEMs) & Bombardier	-
Transhipment Port Consolidators to Global Supply Chain.	Logistical transportation via Air Freight or Sea Freight	**8298720**

1. **De-Carbonomics:**
2. Canadian Governments' suggestive measures of **ELYSIS** technology utilising Carbon-free Aluminium as a raw material to reduce GHG Emission.
3. E-Methanol availability at Bunker Ports facilitates Green Transition to Maritime sector.
4. **Global Supply Chain – Strategic Bunker Port**

As discussed in the literature above the sea freight from the transhipment hub from the Port of Quebec could utilise the strategic location of the Moroccan Bunker hub at the Strait of Gibraltar for re-fuelling drastically reduce the CO_2e and replacing it by renewable Green Hydrogen fuel harnessed from the strategic presence of Morocco in North Africa surrounding the Equatorial belt. [Transport & Environment, 2024]

E-Methanol is methanol that is produced from renewable sources and without polluting with grey emissions, one of its variants being generated from green hydrogen. This chemical compound can be used as a low-carbon liquid fuel and is a promising alternative to fossil fuels in areas where decarbonisation is a major challenge, such as maritime transport. E-Methanol is produced from hydrogen produced from renewable electricity and captured carbon dioxide [www.iberdrola.com/about-us/what-we-do/green-hydrogen/green-methanol]





Figure 3: Processes of e-Methanol Production & Re-fuelling

1. International Maritime Organisation (IMO) adopted the initial IMO GHG strategy for reducing GHG Emissions from ships at the 72nd Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) in 2018 in compliance with Paris Agreement in 2015. SDG by UNFCCC in 2016 aims at reducing the carbon intensity of international shipping by 40% by 2030 [18].
2. Green Transition to Maritime Sector providing stability to global supply chains despite bottlenecks in Suez Canal in recent times. Morocco being a member of the International Solar Alliance could utilise this Photo Voltaic Energy from PV farms with the regions abundant solar radiation as per schematic to Sustainable Marine Fuel (SMF). [20]


This strategic location of Morocco along with other North-African Countries across the Suez Canal belt contributing in pushing a Green Corridor for mutual benefit in the Suez Canal region mitigating GHG Emissions and participative in De-Carbonization and Green Transition efforts of the regional players due to their presence in the Equatorial belt providing stability to global supply chains despite bottlenecks in Suez Canal in recent times.



ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304


International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025




The above Figures are depictive of solar potential from PV Farms and the facilitation of E-Methanol as a fuel for the Bunker Port at Morocco or Strait of Gibraltar. This alternate marine fuel has 88.2% GHG reduction as compared to heavy fuel oil. [18]

In lieu of the current events in the Middle-East North-African (MENA), the Moroccan government could potentially initiate a partnership in the Suez Canal Corridor with Green Bonds – a financial instrument that finance green projects and provide investors with regular/fixed income payments indexed by Climate Finance development with reference to COP – 28 - UNFCCC primarily related to environmental projects to reduce CO_2e reduction to mitigate these Suez Canal tensions.







Source : https://images.app.goo.gl/F7a2pdiMawsxvz4Y9

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

With the considerations of parameters discussed, I provide the model for explaining the cost model in disruptive scenarios for a resilient supply chain approach using resilient strategies as per multi-variate equation. The produced model is an initiative for SEM – AMOS and it may get further refined with the consideration of other relevant parameters. [More Consolidators – i.e. larger data entries].

REFERENCES

[1] R. Rajesh et al, Modelling Enablers of supply chain risk mitigation in electronic supply chains: A grey DEMATEL approach, ELSEVIER, 2015

[2] Gaudenzi et al, managing risk in supply chain using the AHP method, The International Journal of Logistics, 2006

[3] M Caridi et al, The benefits of supply chain visibility: A value assessment model, International Journal of Production Economics, 2014

[4] Um et al, Understanding the relationship between global supply chain risk and supply chain resilience: The role of mitigating strategies, Supply Chain Management: An international journal, 2021

[5] Blackhurst et al, An empirically designed framework of global supply resiliency, Journal of Business Logistics, 2011

[6] Stankovic et al, 2018

[7] Dmitry Ivanov, Intelligent digital Twin for supply chain stress testing, resilience and viability, International Journal of Production Economics, 2023

[8] Dmitry Ivanov, Intelligent digital Twin for supply chain stress testing, resilience and viability, International Journal of Production Economics, 2023

[9] Transport & Environment Report, 2024

[10] Dmitry Ivanov, Intelligent digital Twin for supply chain stress testing, resilience and viability, International Journal of Production Economics, 2023

[11] Dmitry Ivanov, Intelligent digital Twin for supply chain stress testing, resilience and viability, International Journal of Production Economics, 2023

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

[12] Um et al, Understanding the relationship between global supply chain risk and supply chain resilience: The role of mitigating strategies, Supply Chain Management: An international journal, 2021
[13] Dimitry Ivanov, Disruption in supply chain and recovery policy: state of the art review, Science Direct, 2016
[14] Caihong Liu, Multi-agent modelling of the collaborating operation of the producer service supply chain under the intelligent manufacturing clusters in Yangtze river delta, Journal Europeen des Systems Automatises, 2020
[15] Blackhurst et al, An empirically designed framework of global supply resiliency, Journal of Business Logistics, 2011
[16] P Senna et al, Promising research fields in supply chain risk management and supply chain resilience and the gaps concerning human factors: A literature review, 2019
[17] Ambulkar et al, Firm resilience to supply chain disruptions: scale development and empirical examination, Journal of Operations Management, 2015
[18] Hyunyong Lee et al, Comparative lifecycle assessment and economic analyses of alternate marine fuels: insight for practical strategies, Sustainability, 2024
[19] www.iberdrola.com/about-us/what-we-do/green-hydrogen/green-methanol
[20] Green Canal by 2030 Authority Report
[21] GHG Protocol, www.epa.gov/– GHG Accounting
[22] Fujitsu – SFD

APPENDIX:

Risk Parameters – AHP-SERM

Risk Sources	Risk Drivers	Risk Mitigation Strategies
Internal	**Financial Losses**	1. **Operational Excellence** [Under Risk Profiling] 2. **Flexible Transportation** Multi-Carrier - Sky Team Cargo for low-cost global deliveries Multiple Sourcing – Local Suppliers to OEM Multiple Routes – Around African Coast instead of Suez Canal in a Red 3. **EDI Platform** – Contingency Vehicle Scheduling (Agile Operations) & DSS for delivery of goods.
External	**Reputation**	**Reputation**
	Supply Demand Risk	**Climate Risk – De-Carbonisation & Greening Supply Chain**
	Supply Chain Disruption	**Robust Supply Network** Similar to DHL Corporation – Smart tracking routing initiative [Real-time routing algorithms for optimal routing & delivery to ensure supply chain continuity]
		Control
		Share & Transfer – Liquidated Damage Agreement [Transport Insurance] Transport Insurance on Container shipment to manage material mis-management
		Speculation Flexible Contract Full Truckload [Buffer Stock Demand]
		Hedging Excess Buffer Stocks to prevent damage of stock with requisite Thermal Phase Changers
		Economic Supply – Procurement Incentives Future Orders from OEM with No Cost Batch Change against Product Specification Non-Adherence [Back-Order Contract Management]
		Avoidance Against increase in warehouse space expansion across different demand phases Alternate Sourcing in Unstable Region
		Strategic Stock – [‘Just in Case’] - Safety Stock Incentives during a predictive disruption environment for Procurement Teams although exorbitant cost implications w.r.t Warehouse Inventory Holding Costs, Size of Company, PESTLE – Flu outbreak, labour strikes

ISSN (Online) 2456 -1304

International Journal of Science, Engineering and Management (IJSEM)

Volume 12, Issue 05, May 2025

		CO_2e Reduction Initiatives Multiple small EV carriers against LCL carriers Global Supply Chain – Sea Freight (Sustainable Marine Fuel)

Action Recommended Strategies basis Risk Types

Risk Types	Material Mis-Handling (y1)	Summation of Strat.	Resilient Factor [0-1]	Response Time
Sourcing Risk ~ (Procurement)	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	xxxxxxxxxxx		xxxx
	Strategy 1 - Transport Insurance ~ Safety	$\sum(S1+S2+S3+S4+S5+S6+S7)=$	0.4	30 mins
	Strategy 2 - Reduced Outsourcing			
	Strategy 3 - Increased Budget Allocation			
	Strategy 4 - Contingency Shipment			
	Strategy 5 - Building Resilience by (n+1) Approach - **GenAI**			
	Strategy 6 - Reserve Suppliers			
	Strategy 7 - Agile Lean Practices			
	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			
Company Inventory Holding Risk	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			
	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			
	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			
Documentation Risk	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			
	xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx			



Figure 1: Kraljic Matrix across Alu-Quebec Cluster